

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,613	03/01/2002	Pierre H.G. Kobben	RANPP0310USA	7942
;	7590 06/04/2003			
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue			EXAMINER	
			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	17-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	1)	A
ì		_	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	10/087,613	KOBBEN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
Poriod for	The MAILING DATE of this communical Reply	Christopher R Harmon	3721	
Period for	Reply	appears on the cover sneet with	the correspondence addre	SS
- Extens. after St - If the pe	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dispriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, y received by the Office later than three months after the patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	67 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire CIV (30 period will apply and will apply a	be timely filed O days will be considered timely.	nication.
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed of this action is FINAL .			
	-0/1	☐ This action is non-final.		
Disposition	Since this application is in condition for losed in accordance with the practice of Claims	r allowance except for formal matters under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the me 1, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the appl	lication.		
4a)	Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13 and 23-36</u>	6 is/are withdrawn from consideration	_	
5)□ Cl	aim(s) is/are allowed.	- wandrawn nom consideration	٦.	
	aim(s) 14-22 is/are rejected.			
7)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊡ Cla Application	nim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer .		
10) The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or hVD objects to be up a		
4.	reduest that any objection	to the drawing(s) he held in the	caminer.	
	. I are are arrived confection lifed Off	— IS: a) ∫ annroyed h) ☐ dicona	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
If a	approved, corrected drawings are required	In reply to this Office action	noved by the Examiner.	
12)LJ Me	path or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.		
riority unde	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 LLS C & 4404	(a) (d) (a	
a)□ AI	Office C) None of:		a)-(0) or (†).	
1.	and copies of the brighty docum	nents have been received		
2.	Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Applicat	tion No	
3.	TOPICO OF THE CERTIFIED CONTROL AT THE	Driority does	od in this N	
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified coning		
·/ / (O((1))	medgine it is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 LLC C s 4404	- > 7	ition)
5) Ackno	he translation of the foreign language wledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been requestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 88, 120	Ceived.	
_		7 3 120	and/or 121.	
J Notice of Dra	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Information	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Information [visclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kempster et al. (US 5,873,809) in view of Brooks (US 3,089,695).

Kempster et al. disclose a cushioning conversion machine comprising a frame; first and second rotating feed members 24 resiliently biased towards one another by springs; see figure 10. The lower feed member is driven and the upper is positioned upon an idler shaft. The members form a pinch force on the material fed between.

Kempster et al. do not disclose exactly how the biased members are mounted, however Brooks teach rotating feed member 1 in carriers 23 (figure 3) pivotally mounted on pivots biased by springs 68. The releasable locking device is in the form of a hydraulic cylinder 40. When the device is in its locked position the cylinder forces the cylinder towards roller 2 and when released allows for pivoting away.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the rotating members as taught by Brooks in the invention of Kempster et al. in order to provide biasing towards one another.

Regarding claim 22, the Examiner takes OFFICIAL NOTICE that it further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute a leaf spring for the coil spring as they are recognized in the art as obvious variants.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3721

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ch June 2, 2003

Page 4